

More examples of pseudosymmetric braided categories *

Florin Panaite

Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy

PO-Box 1-764, RO-014700 Bucharest, Romania

e-mail: Florin.Panaite@imar.ro

Mihai D. Staic†

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, BGSU

Bowling Green, OH 43403, USA

e-mail: mstaic@bgsu.edu

Abstract

We study some examples of braided categories and quasitriangular Hopf algebras and decide which of them is pseudosymmetric, respectively pseudotriangular. We show also that there exists a universal pseudosymmetric braided category.

Introduction

Braided categories have been introduced by Joyal and Street in [4] as natural generalizations of symmetric categories. Roughly speaking, a braided category is a category that has a tensor product with a nice commutation rule. More precisely, for every two objects U and V we have an isomorphism $c_{U,V} : U \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes U$ that satisfies certain conditions. These conditions are chosen in such a way that for every object V in the category there exists a natural way to construct a representation for the braid group B_n on $V^{\otimes n}$, therefore the name *braided* categories. If we impose the extra condition $c_{V,U}c_{U,V} = id_{U \otimes V}$ for all objects U, V in the category, we recover the definition of symmetric categories. It is well known that symmetric categories can be used to construct representations for the symmetric group Σ_n .

Pseudosymmetric categories are a special class of braided categories and have been introduced in [9]. The motivation was the study of certain categorical structures called twines, strong twines and pure-braided structures (introduced in [1], [8] and [13]). A braiding on a strict monoidal category is called pseudosymmetric if it satisfies a sort of modified braid relation; any symmetric braiding is pseudosymmetric. One of the most intriguing results obtained in [9] was that the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a Hopf algebra H is pseudosymmetric if and only if H is commutative and cocommutative. We proved in [10] that pseudosymmetric categories can be used to construct representations for the group $PS_n = \frac{B_n}{[P_n, P_n]}$, the quotient of the braid group by the commutator subgroup of the pure braid group. There exists also a Hopf algebraic analogue of pseudosymmetric braidings: a quasitriangular structure on a Hopf algebra is called pseudotriangular if it satisfies a sort of modified quantum Yang-Baxter equation.

*Research partially supported by the CNCSIS project "Hopf algebras, cyclic homology and monoidal categories", contract nr. 560/2009, CNCSIS code ID-69.

†Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, PO-Box 1-764, RO-014700 Bucharest, Romania.

In this paper we tie some loose ends from [9] and [10]. We study more examples of braided categories and quasitriangular Hopf algebras and decide when they are pseudosymmetric, respectively pseudotriangular. Namely, we prove that the canonical braiding of the category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ of Yetter-Drinfeld-Long bimodules over a Hopf algebra H (introduced in [11]) is pseudosymmetric if and only if H is commutative and cocommutative. We show that any quasitriangular structure on the 4ν -dimensional Radford's Hopf algebra H_ν (introduced in [12]) is pseudotriangular. We analyze the positive quasitriangular structures $R(\xi, \eta)$ on a Hopf algebra with positive bases $H(G; G_+, G_-)$ (as defined in [6], [7]), where ξ, η are group homomorphisms from G_+ to G_- , and we present a list of necessary and sufficient conditions for $R(\xi, \eta)$ to be pseudotriangular. If $R(\xi, \eta)$ is normal (i.e. if ξ is trivial) these conditions reduce to the single relation $\eta(uv) = \eta(vu)$ for all $u, v \in G_+$.

In the last section we recall the pseudosymmetric braided category \mathcal{PS} introduced in [10] and we show that it is a universal pseudosymmetric category. More precisely, we prove that it satisfies two universality properties similar to the ones satisfied by the universal braid category \mathcal{B} (see [5]).

1 Preliminaries

We work over a base field k . All algebras, linear spaces, etc, will be over k ; unadorned \otimes means \otimes_k . For a Hopf algebra H with comultiplication Δ we denote $\Delta(h) = h_1 \otimes h_2$, for $h \in H$. For terminology concerning Hopf algebras and monoidal categories we refer to [5].

Definition 1.1 ([9]) *Let \mathcal{C} be a strict monoidal category and c a braiding on \mathcal{C} . We say that c is **pseudosymmetric** if the following condition holds, for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$:*

$$(c_{Y,Z} \otimes id_X)(id_Y \otimes c_{Z,X}^{-1})(c_{X,Y} \otimes id_Z) = (id_Z \otimes c_{X,Y})(c_{Z,X}^{-1} \otimes id_Y)(id_X \otimes c_{Y,Z}).$$

*In this case we say that \mathcal{C} is a **pseudosymmetric braided category**.*

Proposition 1.2 ([9]) *Let \mathcal{C} be a strict monoidal category and c a braiding on \mathcal{C} . Then c is pseudosymmetric if and only if the family $T_{X,Y} := c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \rightarrow X \otimes Y$ satisfies the condition $(T_{X,Y} \otimes id_Z)(id_X \otimes T_{Y,Z}) = (id_X \otimes T_{Y,Z})(T_{X,Y} \otimes id_Z)$ for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Definition 1.3 ([9]) *Let H be a Hopf algebra and $R \in H \otimes H$ a quasitriangular structure. Then R is called **pseudotriangular** if $R_{12}R_{31}^{-1}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{31}^{-1}R_{12}$.*

Proposition 1.4 ([9]) *Let H be a Hopf algebra and let R be a quasitriangular structure on H . Then R is pseudotriangular if and only if the element $F = R_{21}R \in H \otimes H$ satisfies the relation $F_{12}F_{23} = F_{23}F_{12}$.*

2 Yetter-Drinfeld-Long bimodules

For a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} with braiding c , let \mathcal{C}^{in} be equal to \mathcal{C} as a monoidal category, with the mirror-reversed braiding $\tilde{c}_{M,N} := c_{N,M}^{-1}$, for all objects $M, N \in \mathcal{C}$. Directly from the definition of a pseudosymmetric braiding, we immediately obtain:

Proposition 2.1 *Let \mathcal{C} be a strict braided monoidal category. Then \mathcal{C} is pseudosymmetric if and only if \mathcal{C}^{in} is pseudosymmetric.*

Let H be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode S . Consider the category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ of left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H , whose objects are vector spaces M that are left H -modules (denote the action by $h \otimes m \mapsto h \cdot m$) and right H -comodules (denote the coaction by $m \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)} \in M \otimes H$) satisfying the compatibility condition

$$(h \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes (h \cdot m)_{(1)} = h_2 \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes h_3 m_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_1), \quad \forall h \in H, m \in M.$$

It is a monoidal category, with tensor product given by

$$h \cdot (m \otimes n) = h_1 \cdot m \otimes h_2 \cdot n, \quad (m \otimes n)_{(0)} \otimes (m \otimes n)_{(1)} = m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)} \otimes n_{(1)} m_{(1)}.$$

Moreover, it has a (canonical) braiding given by

$$\begin{aligned} c_{M,N} : M \otimes N &\rightarrow N \otimes M, & c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) &= n_{(0)} \otimes n_{(1)} \cdot m, \\ c_{M,N}^{-1} : N \otimes M &\rightarrow M \otimes N, & c_{M,N}^{-1}(n \otimes m) &= S(n_{(1)}) \cdot m \otimes n_{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider also the category ${}_H^H\mathcal{YD}$ of left-left Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H , whose objects are vector spaces M that are left H -modules (denote the action by $h \otimes m \mapsto h \cdot m$) and left H -comodules (denote the coaction by $m \mapsto m^{(-1)} \otimes m^{(0)} \in H \otimes M$) with compatibility condition

$$(h_1 \cdot m)^{(-1)} h_2 \otimes (h_1 \cdot m)^{(0)} = h_1 m^{(-1)} \otimes h_2 \cdot m^{(0)}, \quad \forall h \in H, m \in M.$$

It is a monoidal category, with tensor product given by

$$h \cdot (m \otimes n) = h_1 \cdot m \otimes h_2 \cdot n, \quad (m \otimes n)^{(-1)} \otimes (m \otimes n)^{(0)} = m^{(-1)} n^{(-1)} \otimes m^{(0)} \otimes n^{(0)}.$$

Moreover, it has a (canonical) braiding given by

$$\begin{aligned} c_{M,N} : M \otimes N &\rightarrow N \otimes M, & c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) &= m^{(-1)} \cdot n \otimes m^{(0)}, \\ c_{M,N}^{-1} : N \otimes M &\rightarrow M \otimes N, & c_{M,N}^{-1}(n \otimes m) &= m^{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}(m^{(-1)}) \cdot n. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2 ([2]) *For the categories ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ and ${}_H^H\mathcal{YD}$ with braidings as above, we have an isomorphism of braided monoidal categories $({}_H\mathcal{YD}^H)^{in} \simeq {}_H^H\mathcal{YD}$.*

Proposition 2.3 ([9]) *The canonical braiding of ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is pseudosymmetric if and only if H is commutative and cocommutative.*

As a consequence of Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, we obtain:

Proposition 2.4 *The canonical braiding of ${}_H^H\mathcal{YD}$ is pseudosymmetric if and only if H is commutative and cocommutative.*

We recall now the braided monoidal category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ defined in [11]. The objects of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ are vector spaces M endowed with H -bimodule and H -bicomodule structures (denoted by $h \otimes m \mapsto h \cdot m$, $m \otimes h \mapsto m \cdot h$, $m \mapsto m^{(-1)} \otimes m^{(0)}$, $m \mapsto m^{<0>} \otimes m^{<1>}$, for all $h \in H$, $m \in M$), such that M is a left-left Yetter-Drinfeld module, a left-right Long module, a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module and a right-left Long module, i.e. (for all $h \in H$, $m \in M$):

$$(h_1 \cdot m)^{(-1)} h_2 \otimes (h_1 \cdot m)^{(0)} = h_1 m^{(-1)} \otimes h_2 \cdot m^{(0)}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$(h \cdot m)^{<0>} \otimes (h \cdot m)^{<1>} = h \cdot m^{<0>} \otimes m^{<1>}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$(m \cdot h_2)^{<0>} \otimes h_1(m \cdot h_2)^{<1>} = m^{<0>} \cdot h_1 \otimes m^{<1>} h_2, \quad (2.3)$$

$$(m \cdot h)^{(-1)} \otimes (m \cdot h)^{(0)} = m^{(-1)} \otimes m^{(0)} \cdot h. \quad (2.4)$$

Morphisms in $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ are H -bilinear H -bilinear maps. $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ is a strict monoidal category, with unit k endowed with usual H -bimodule and H -bicomodule structures, and tensor product given by: if $M, N \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$ then $M \otimes N \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$ as follows (for all $m \in M, n \in N, h \in H$):

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot (m \otimes n) &= h_1 \cdot m \otimes h_2 \cdot n, \quad (m \otimes n) \cdot h = m \cdot h_1 \otimes n \cdot h_2, \\ (m \otimes n)^{(-1)} \otimes (m \otimes n)^{(0)} &= m^{(-1)} n^{(-1)} \otimes (m^{(0)} \otimes n^{(0)}), \\ (m \otimes n)^{<0>} \otimes (m \otimes n)^{<1>} &= (m^{<0>} \otimes n^{<0>}) \otimes m^{<1>} n^{<1>}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ has a (canonical) braiding defined, for $M, N \in \mathcal{LR}(H), m \in M, n \in N$, by

$$\begin{aligned} c_{M,N} : M \otimes N &\rightarrow N \otimes M, \quad c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) = m^{(-1)} \cdot n^{<0>} \otimes m^{(0)} \cdot n^{<1>}, \\ c_{M,N}^{-1} : N \otimes M &\rightarrow M \otimes N, \quad c_{M,N}^{-1}(n \otimes m) = m^{(0)} \cdot S^{-1}(n^{<1>}) \otimes S^{-1}(m^{(-1)}) \cdot n^{<0>}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.5 *The canonical braiding of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ is pseudosymmetric if and only if H is commutative and cocommutative.*

Proof. Assume that the canonical braiding of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ is pseudosymmetric. As noted in [11], ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ with its canonical braiding is a braided subcategory of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$, so the canonical braiding of ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ is pseudosymmetric; by Proposition 2.4 it follows that H is commutative and cocommutative. Conversely, assume that H is commutative and cocommutative. Then one can see that the two Yetter-Drinfeld conditions appearing in the definition of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ become Long conditions, that is (2.1) and (2.3) become respectively

$$(h \cdot m)^{(-1)} \otimes (h \cdot m)^{(0)} = m^{(-1)} \otimes h \cdot m^{(0)}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$(m \cdot h)^{<0>} \otimes (m \cdot h)^{<1>} = m^{<0>} \cdot h \otimes m^{<1>}. \quad (2.6)$$

Let now $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$; we compute, for $x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(c_{Y,Z} \otimes id_X)(id_Y \otimes c_{Z,X}^{-1})(c_{X,Y} \otimes id_Z)(x \otimes y \otimes z) \\ &= (c_{Y,Z} \otimes id_X)(id_Y \otimes c_{Z,X}^{-1})(x^{(-1)} \cdot y^{<0>} \otimes x^{(0)} \cdot y^{<1>} \otimes z) \\ &= (c_{Y,Z} \otimes id_X)(x^{(-1)} \cdot y^{<0>} \otimes z^{(0)} \cdot S^{-1}((x^{(0)} \cdot y^{<1>})^{<1>})) \\ &\quad \otimes S^{-1}(z^{(-1)}) \cdot (x^{(0)} \cdot y^{<1>})^{<0>} \\ &\stackrel{(2.6)}{=} (c_{Y,Z} \otimes id_X)(x^{(-1)} \cdot y^{<0>} \otimes z^{(0)} \cdot S^{-1}(x^{(0)<1>}) \otimes S^{-1}(z^{(-1)}) \cdot x^{(0)<0>} \cdot y^{<1>}) \\ &= (x^{(-1)} \cdot y^{<0>})^{(-1)} \cdot [z^{(0)} \cdot S^{-1}(x^{(0)<1>})]^{<0>} \\ &\quad \otimes (x^{(-1)} \cdot y^{<0>})^{(0)} \cdot [z^{(0)} \cdot S^{-1}(x^{(0)<1>})]^{<1>} \\ &\quad \otimes S^{-1}(z^{(-1)}) \cdot x^{(0)<0>} \cdot y^{<1>} \\ &\stackrel{(2.5, 2.6)}{=} y^{<0>(-1)} \cdot z^{(0)<0>} \cdot S^{-1}(x^{(0)<1>}) \otimes x^{(-1)} \cdot y^{<0>(0)} \cdot z^{(0)<1>} \\ &\quad \otimes S^{-1}(z^{(-1)}) \cdot x^{(0)<0>} \cdot y^{<1>}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (id_Z \otimes c_{X,Y})(c_{Z,X}^{-1} \otimes id_Y)(id_X \otimes c_{Y,Z})(x \otimes y \otimes z) \\
&= (id_Z \otimes c_{X,Y})(c_{Z,X}^{-1} \otimes id_Y)(x \otimes y^{(-1)} \cdot z^{<0>} \otimes y^{(0)} \cdot z^{<1>}) \\
&= (id_Z \otimes c_{X,Y})([y^{(-1)} \cdot z^{<0>}]^{(0)} \cdot S^{-1}(x^{<1>}) \otimes S^{-1}([y^{(-1)} \cdot z^{<0>}]^{(-1)}) \cdot x^{<0>} \\
&\quad \otimes y^{(0)} \cdot z^{<1>}) \\
&\stackrel{(2.5)}{=} (id_Z \otimes c_{X,Y})(y^{(-1)} \cdot z^{<0>(0)} \cdot S^{-1}(x^{<1>}) \otimes S^{-1}(z^{<0>(-1)}) \cdot x^{<0>} \otimes y^{(0)} \cdot z^{<1>}) \\
&= y^{(-1)} \cdot z^{<0>(0)} \cdot S^{-1}(x^{<1>}) \otimes [S^{-1}(z^{<0>(-1)}) \cdot x^{<0>}]^{(-1)} \cdot [y^{(0)} \cdot z^{<1>}]^{<0>} \\
&\quad \otimes [S^{-1}(z^{<0>(-1)}) \cdot x^{<0>}]^{(0)} \cdot [y^{(0)} \cdot z^{<1>}]^{<1>} \\
&\stackrel{(2.5,2.6)}{=} y^{(-1)} \cdot z^{<0>(0)} \cdot S^{-1}(x^{<1>}) \otimes x^{<0>(-1)} \cdot y^{(0)<0>} \cdot z^{<1>} \\
&\quad \otimes S^{-1}(z^{<0>(-1)}) \cdot x^{<0>(0)} \cdot y^{(0)<1>},
\end{aligned}$$

and the two terms are equal because of the bicomodule condition for X , Y and Z . \square

3 Radford's Hopf algebras H_ν

Let ν be an odd natural number and assume that the base field k contains a primitive $2\nu^{th}$ root of unity ω and 2ν is invertible in k . We consider a certain family of Hopf algebras, which are exactly the quasitriangular ones from the larger family constructed by Radford in [12]. Namely, using notation as in [3], we denote by H_ν the Hopf algebra over k generated by two elements g and x such that

$$g^{2\nu} = 1, \quad gx + xg = 0, \quad x^2 = 0,$$

with coproduct $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$ and $\Delta(x) = x \otimes g^\nu + 1 \otimes x$, and antipode $S(g) = g^{-1}$ and $S(x) = g^\nu x$. Note that H_1 is exactly Sweedler's 4-dimensional Hopf algebra, and in general H_ν is 4ν -dimensional, a linear basis in H_ν being the set $\{g^l x^m / 0 \leq l < 2\nu, 0 \leq m \leq 1\}$.

The quasitriangular structures of H_ν have been determined in [12]; they are parametrized by pairs (s, β) , where $\beta \in k$ and s is an odd number with $1 \leq s < 2\nu$. Moreover, if we denote by $R_{s,\beta}$ the quasitriangular structure corresponding to (s, β) , then we have

$$R_{s,\beta} = \frac{1}{2\nu} \left(\sum_{i,l=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{-il} g^i \otimes g^{sl} \right) + \frac{\beta}{2\nu} \left(\sum_{i,l=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{-il} g^i x \otimes g^{sl+\nu} x \right).$$

It was also proved in [12] that $R_{s,\beta}$ is triangular if and only if $s = \nu$.

Following [12], we introduce an alternative description of $R_{s,\beta}$, more appropriate for our purpose. For every natural number $0 \leq l \leq 2\nu - 1$, we define

$$e_l = \frac{1}{2\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{-il} g^i,$$

regarded as an element in the group algebra of the cyclic group of order 2ν generated by the element g (which in turn may be regarded as a Hopf subalgebra of H_ν in the obvious way). Then, by [12], the following relations hold:

$$1 = e_0 + e_1 + \dots + e_{2\nu-1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_i e_j &= \delta_{ij} e_i, \\ g^i e_j &= \omega^{ij} e_j, \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq i, j \leq 2\nu - 1$. Also, a straightforward computation shows that we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^i e_i = g^\nu.$$

Note also that, since ω is a primitive $2\nu^{th}$ root of unity, we have

$$\omega^\nu = -1.$$

With this notation, the quasitriangular structure $R_{s,\beta}$ may be expressed (cf. [12]) as

$$R_{s,\beta} = \sum_{l=0}^{2\nu-1} e_l \otimes g^{sl} + \beta \left(\sum_{l=0}^{2\nu-1} e_l x \otimes g^{sl+\nu} x \right).$$

We are interested to see for what s, β is $R_{s,\beta}$ pseudotriangular. We note first that for $\beta = 0$, $R_{s,0}$ is actually a quasitriangular structure on the group algebra of the cyclic group of order 2ν , which is a commutative Hopf algebra, so $R_{s,0}$ is pseudotriangular.

Consider now $R_{s,\beta}$ an arbitrary quasitriangular structure on H_ν . We need to compute first $(R_{s,\beta})_{21} R_{s,\beta}$. By using the defining relations $x^2 = 0$ and $gx + xg = 0$, the properties of the elements e_l listed above and the fact that s and ν are odd numbers, a straightforward computation yields:

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{s,\beta})_{21} R_{s,\beta} &= \sum_{l,t=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt} e_l \otimes e_t + \beta \left(\sum_{l,t=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt+\nu t} e_l x \otimes e_t x \right) \\ &\quad - \beta \left(\sum_{l,t=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^{l+t} \omega^{2slt+\nu l} x e_l \otimes e_t x \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote this element by T . We need to compare $T_{12} T_{23}$ and $T_{23} T_{12}$, so we first compute them, using repeatedly the defining relations of H_ν and the properties of the elements e_l :

$$\begin{aligned} T_{12} T_{23} &= \sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt+2stj} e_l \otimes e_t \otimes e_j + \beta \left(\sum_{l,t,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt+2sij+\nu j} e_l \otimes e_t e_i x \otimes e_j x \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{l,t,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^{i+j} \omega^{2slt+2sij+\nu i} e_l \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes e_j x + \sum_{l,t,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt+2sij+\nu t} e_l x \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes e_j \\ &\quad - \sum_{l,t,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^{t+l} \omega^{2slt+2sij+\nu l} x e_l \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes e_j \\ &= \sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt+2stj} e_l \otimes e_t \otimes e_j + \beta \left(\sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^j \omega^{2slt+2stj} e_l \otimes e_t x \otimes e_j x \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{l,t,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^j \omega^{2slt+2sij} e_l \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes e_j x + \sum_{l,t,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^t \omega^{2slt+2sij} e_l x \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes e_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{l,t,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^t \omega^{2slt+2sij} x e_l \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes e_j) \\
= & \sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt+2stj} e_l \otimes e_t \otimes e_j + \beta \left(\sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^j \omega^{2slt} e_l \otimes e_t x \otimes g^{2st} e_j x \right. \\
& - \sum_{l,t,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^j g^{2st} e_l \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes g^{2si} e_j x + \sum_{l,t,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^t \omega^{2sij} e_l x \otimes g^{2sl} e_t x e_i \otimes e_j \\
& - \sum_{l,t,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^t \omega^{2sij} x e_l \otimes g^{2sl} e_t x e_i \otimes e_j) \\
= & \sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt+2stj} e_l \otimes e_t \otimes e_j + \beta \left(\sum_{l,t=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt} e_l \otimes e_t x \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x \right. \\
& - \sum_{t,i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes g^{2si+\nu} x + \sum_{l,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2sij} e_l x \otimes g^{2sl+\nu} x e_i \otimes e_j \\
& - \sum_{l,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2sij} x e_l \otimes g^{2sl+\nu} x e_i \otimes e_j) \\
= & \sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt+2stj} e_l \otimes e_t \otimes e_j + \beta \left(\sum_{l,t=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} e_l \otimes e_t x \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x \right. \\
& - \sum_{t,i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes g^{2si+\nu} x + \sum_{l,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} e_l x \otimes g^{2sl+2sj+\nu} x e_i \otimes e_j \\
& - \sum_{l,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} x e_l \otimes g^{2sl+2sj+\nu} x e_i \otimes e_j) \\
= & \sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt+2stj} e_l \otimes e_t \otimes e_j + \beta \left(\sum_{t=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes e_t x \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x \right. \\
& - \sum_{t,i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes g^{2si+\nu} x + \sum_{l,j=0}^{2\nu-1} e_l x \otimes g^{2sl+2sj+\nu} x \otimes e_j \\
& - \sum_{l,j=0}^{2\nu-1} x e_l \otimes g^{2sl+2sj+\nu} x \otimes e_j), \\
T_{23}T_{12} = & \sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt+2stj} e_l \otimes e_t \otimes e_j + \beta \left(\sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^t \omega^{2slt+2stj} e_l x \otimes e_t x \otimes e_j \right. \\
& - \sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^t \omega^{2slt+2stj} x e_l \otimes e_t x \otimes e_j + \sum_{l,t,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^j \omega^{2slt+2sij} e_l \otimes e_i x e_t \otimes e_j x \\
& - \sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^j \omega^{2slt+2stj} e_l \otimes x e_t \otimes e_j x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt+2stj} e_l \otimes e_t \otimes e_j + \beta \left(\sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^t e_l x \otimes g^{2sl+2sj} e_t x \otimes e_j \right. \\
&\quad - \sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^t x e_l \otimes g^{2sl+2sj} e_t x \otimes e_j + \sum_{l,t,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^j \omega^{2sli+2stj} e_l \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes e_j x \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^j \omega^{2slt} e_l \otimes x e_t \otimes g^{2st} e_j x \right) \\
&= \sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt+2stj} e_l \otimes e_t \otimes e_j + \beta \left(\sum_{l,j=0}^{2\nu-1} e_l x \otimes g^{2sl+2sj+\nu} x \otimes e_j \right. \\
&\quad - \sum_{l,j=0}^{2\nu-1} x e_l \otimes g^{2sl+2sj+\nu} x \otimes e_j + \sum_{l,t,i,j=0}^{2\nu-1} (-1)^j g^{2si} e_l \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes g^{2st} e_j x \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{l,t=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} e_l \otimes x e_t \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x \right) \\
&= \sum_{l,t,j=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{2slt+2stj} e_l \otimes e_t \otimes e_j + \beta \left(\sum_{l,j=0}^{2\nu-1} e_l x \otimes g^{2sl+2sj+\nu} x \otimes e_j \right. \\
&\quad - \sum_{l,j=0}^{2\nu-1} x e_l \otimes g^{2sl+2sj+\nu} x \otimes e_j + \sum_{t,i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2si} \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{t=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes x e_t \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can see that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{12}T_{23} - T_{23}T_{12} &= \beta \left(\sum_{t=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes e_t x \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x - \sum_{t,i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes g^{2si+\nu} x \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{t,i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2si} \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x + \sum_{t=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes x e_t \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We need to prove now that we have

$$x e_l = e_{l-\nu} x,$$

for all $0 \leq l \leq 2\nu - 1$, where the subscripts are taken mod 2ν . We use the following facts:

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega^\nu &= -1, \\
x g^i &= (-1)^i g^i x = \omega^{i\nu} g^i x.
\end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$x e_l = x \frac{1}{2\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{-il} g^i$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{-il} x g^i \\
&= \frac{1}{2\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{-il} \omega^{i\nu} g^i x \\
&= \frac{1}{2\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{-il+i\nu} g^i x \\
&= \frac{1}{2\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{2\nu-1} \omega^{-i(l-\nu)} g^i x \\
&= e_{l-\nu} x, \quad q.e.d.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t,i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes g^{2si+\nu} x &= \sum_{t,i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes e_t e_{i-\nu} x \otimes g^{2si+\nu} x \\
&= \sum_{t,i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes \delta_{t,i-\nu} e_t x \otimes g^{2si+\nu} x \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes e_t x \otimes g^{2s(t+\nu)+\nu} x \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes e_t x \otimes g^{2st+\nu} g^{2s\nu} x \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes e_t x \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x,
\end{aligned}$$

so we have $\sum_{t=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes e_t x \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x - \sum_{t,i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes g^{2si+\nu} x = 0$. Similarly, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t,i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2si} \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x &= \sum_{t,i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2si} \otimes x e_{t+\nu} e_i \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x \\
&= \sum_{t,i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2si} \otimes x \delta_{t+\nu,i} e_i \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2si} \otimes x e_i \otimes g^{2s(i-\nu)+\nu} x \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2si} \otimes x e_i \otimes g^{2si+\nu} x,
\end{aligned}$$

so we have $\sum_{t=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2st} \otimes x e_t \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x - \sum_{t,i=0}^{2\nu-1} g^{2si} \otimes e_t x e_i \otimes g^{2st+\nu} x = 0$. Consequently, we have

$T_{12}T_{23} - T_{23}T_{12} = 0$, and so we obtained:

Theorem 3.1 *Any quasitriangular structure $R_{s,\beta}$ on Radford's Hopf algebra H_ν is pseudotriangular.*

4 Hopf algebras with positive bases

In this section the base field is assumed to be \mathbb{C} , the field of complex numbers.

We recall from [6] that a basis of a Hopf algebra over \mathbb{C} is called *positive* if all the structure constants (for the unit, counit, multiplication, comultiplication and antipode) with respect to this basis are nonnegative real numbers. Also, a quasitriangular structure R on a Hopf algebra having a positive basis B is called *positive* in [7] if the coefficients of R in the basis $B \otimes B$ are nonnegative real numbers. The finite dimensional Hopf algebras having a positive basis and the positive quasitriangular structures on them have been classified in [6], [7] as follows.

Let G be a group (we denote by e its unit). A *unique factorization* $G = G_+G_-$ of G consists of two subgroups G_+ and G_- of G such that any $g \in G$ can be written uniquely as $g = g_+g_-$, with $g_+ \in G_+$ and $g_- \in G_-$. By considering the inverse map, we can also write uniquely $g = \bar{g}_-\bar{g}_+$, with $\bar{g}_- \in G_-$ and $\bar{g}_+ \in G_+$.

Let $u \in G_+$, $x \in G_-$; then we can write uniquely

$$\begin{aligned} xu &= ({}^xu)(x^u), \text{ with } {}^xu \in G_+ \text{ and } x^u \in G_-, \\ ux &= ({}^ux)(u^x), \text{ with } {}^ux \in G_- \text{ and } u^x \in G_+. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have the following actions of G_+ and G_- on each other (from left and right):

$$\begin{aligned} G_- \times G_+ &\rightarrow G_+, & (x, u) &\mapsto {}^xu, \\ G_- \times G_+ &\rightarrow G_-, & (x, u) &\mapsto x^u, \\ G_+ \times G_- &\rightarrow G_-, & (u, x) &\mapsto {}^ux, \\ G_+ \times G_- &\rightarrow G_+, & (u, x) &\mapsto u^x. \end{aligned}$$

The relations between these actions and the decompositions $g = g_+g_- = \bar{g}_-\bar{g}_+$ are:

$$\bar{g}_-\bar{g}_+ = g_+; \bar{g}_-^{g_+} = g_-; g_+^{g_-} = \bar{g}_+; g_+g_- = \bar{g}_-; (g_+g_-)(g_+^{g_-}) = g_+g_-; (g_-g_+)(\bar{g}_-^{g_+}) = g_-g_+.$$

Given a unique factorization $G = G_+G_-$ of a finite group G , one can construct a finite dimensional Hopf algebra $H(G; G_+, G_-)$, which is the vector space spanned by the set G (we denote by $\{g\}$ an element $g \in G$ when it is regarded as an element in $H(G; G_+, G_-)$) with the following Hopf algebra structure:

$$\text{multiplication: } \{g\}\{h\} = \delta_{g_+^{g_-}, h_+} \{gh_-\}$$

$$\text{unit: } 1 = \sum_{g_+ \in G_+} \{g_+\}$$

$$\text{comultiplication: } \Delta(\{g\}) = \sum_{h_+ \in G_+} \{g_+h_+^{-1}(h_+g_-)\} \otimes \{h_+g_-\}$$

$$\text{counit: } \varepsilon(\{g\}) = \delta_{g_+, e}$$

$$\text{antipode: } S(\{g\}) = \{g^{-1}\}$$

The Hopf algebra $H(G; G_+, G_-)$ has G as the obvious positive basis. Conversely, it was proved in [6] that all finite dimensional Hopf algebras with positive bases are of the form $H(G; G_+, G_-)$.

The positive quasitriangular and triangular structures on $H(G; G_+, G_-)$ have been described in [7] as follows:

Theorem 4.1 ([7]) *Let $G = G_+G_-$ be a unique factorization of a finite group G . Let $\xi, \eta : G_+ \rightarrow G_-$ be two group homomorphisms satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\xi(u)^v = \xi(u^{\eta(v)}), \tag{4.1}$$

$${}^u\eta(v) = \eta(\xi^{(u)}v), \quad (4.2)$$

$$uv = (\xi^{(u)}v)(u\eta^{(v)}), \quad (4.3)$$

$$\xi^{(x)}u x^u = x\xi(u), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\eta^{(x)}u x^u = x\eta(u), \quad (4.5)$$

for all $u, v \in G_+$ and $x \in G_-$. Then

$$R(\xi, \eta) := \sum_{u, v \in G_+} \{u(\eta(v)^u)^{-1}\} \otimes \{v\xi(u)\}$$

is a positive quasitriangular structure on $H(G; G_+, G_-)$. Conversely, every positive quasitriangular structure on $H(G; G_+, G_-)$ is given by the above construction.

Moreover, each of the conditions (4.1)-(4.5) is equivalent to the corresponding property below:

$${}^v\xi(u) = \xi(\eta^{(v)}u), \quad (4.6)$$

$$\eta(v)^u = \eta(v\xi^{(u)}), \quad (4.7)$$

$$uv = (\eta^{(u)}v)(u\xi^{(v)}), \quad (4.8)$$

$${}^u x\xi(u^x) = \xi(u)x, \quad (4.9)$$

$${}^u x\eta(u^x) = \eta(u)x. \quad (4.10)$$

Moreover, $R(\xi, \eta)$ is triangular if and only if $\xi = \eta$.

Our aim now is to characterize those $R(\xi, \eta)$ that are pseudotriangular. So, let $R = R(\xi, \eta)$ be a positive quasitriangular structure on $H(G; G_+, G_-)$. We have (see [7]):

$$R_{21}R = \sum_{u, v \in G_+} \{v\xi(u)(\eta(\bar{v})^{\bar{u}})^{-1}\} \otimes \{u(\eta(v)^u)^{-1}\xi(\bar{u})\},$$

where we denoted $\bar{u} = v\xi^{(u)}$ and $\bar{v} = \eta^{(v)}u$.

We denote $T = R_{21}R$ and we compute (by using the formula for the multiplication of $H(G; G_+, G_-)$):

$$\begin{aligned} T_{12}T_{23} &= \left(\sum_{u, v \in G_+} \{v\xi(u)(\eta(\bar{v})^{\bar{u}})^{-1}\} \otimes \{u(\eta(v)^u)^{-1}\xi(\bar{u})\} \otimes 1 \right) \\ &\quad \left(\sum_{s, t \in G_+} 1 \otimes \{t\xi(s)(\eta(\bar{t})^{\bar{s}})^{-1}\} \otimes \{s(\eta(t)^s)^{-1}\xi(\bar{s})\} \right) \\ &= \sum_{u, v, s, t \in G_+} \{v\xi(u)(\eta(\bar{v})^{\bar{u}})^{-1}\} \otimes \{u(\eta(v)^u)^{-1}\xi(\bar{u})\} \{t\xi(s)(\eta(\bar{t})^{\bar{s}})^{-1}\} \\ &\quad \otimes \{s(\eta(t)^s)^{-1}\xi(\bar{s})\} \\ &= \sum_{u, v, s \in G_+} \{v\xi(u)(\eta(\eta^{(v)}u)^{v\xi^{(u)}})^{-1}\} \otimes \{u(\eta(v)^u)^{-1}\xi(v\xi^{(u)})\xi(s)(\eta(\eta^{(t)}s)^{t\xi^{(s)}})^{-1}\} \\ &\quad \otimes \{s(\eta(t)^s)^{-1}\xi(t\xi^{(s)})\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t = u(\eta(v)^u)^{-1}\xi(v\xi^{(u)})$, and

$$T_{23}T_{12} = \left(\sum_{a, b \in G_+} 1 \otimes \{b\xi(a)(\eta(\eta^{(b)}a)^{b\xi^{(a)}})^{-1}\} \otimes \{a(\eta(b)^a)^{-1}\xi(b\xi^{(a)})\} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{c,d \in G_+} \{d\xi(c)(\eta(\eta^{(d)}c)^{(d^{\xi(c)})})^{-1}\} \otimes \{c(\eta(d)^c)^{-1}\xi(d^{\xi(c)})\} \otimes 1 \right) \\
&= \sum_{a,b,c,d \in G_+} \{d\xi(c)(\eta(\eta^{(d)}c)^{(d^{\xi(c)})})^{-1}\} \otimes \{b\xi(a)(\eta(\eta^{(b)}a)^{(b^{\xi(a)})})^{-1}\} \{c(\eta(d)^c)^{-1}\xi(d^{\xi(c)})\} \\
&\quad \otimes \{a(\eta(b)^a)^{-1}\xi(b^{\xi(a)})\} \\
&= \sum_{a,b,d \in G_+} \{d\xi(c)(\eta(\eta^{(d)}c)^{(d^{\xi(c)})})^{-1}\} \otimes \{b\xi(a)(\eta(\eta^{(b)}a)^{(b^{\xi(a)})})^{-1}(\eta(d)^c)^{-1}\xi(d^{\xi(c)})\} \\
&\quad \otimes \{a(\eta(b)^a)^{-1}\xi(b^{\xi(a)})\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $c = b^{\xi(a)}(\eta(\eta^{(b)}a)^{(b^{\xi(a)})})^{-1}$. By writing down what means $T_{12}T_{23} = T_{23}T_{12}$, we obtain:

Proposition 4.2 *The positive quasitriangular structure $R(\xi, \eta)$ is pseudotriangular if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xi(u)(\eta(\eta^{(v)}u)^{(v^{\xi(u)})})^{-1} = \xi(c)(\eta(\eta^{(v)}c)^{(v^{\xi(c)})})^{-1}, \\
& (\eta(v)^u)^{-1}\xi(v^{\xi(u)})\xi(s)(\eta(\eta^{(t)}s)^{(t^{\xi(s)})})^{-1} = \xi(s)(\eta(\eta^{(u)}s)^{(u^{\xi(s)})})^{-1}(\eta(v)^c)^{-1}\xi(v^{\xi(c)}), \\
& (\eta(t)^s)^{-1}\xi(t^{\xi(s)}) = (\eta(u)^s)^{-1}\xi(u^{\xi(s)}),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v, s \in G_+$, where $t = u(\eta(v)^u)^{-1}\xi(v^{\xi(u)})$ and $c = u^{\xi(s)}(\eta(\eta^{(u)}s)^{(u^{\xi(s)})})^{-1}$.

A better description may be obtained for a certain class of positive quasitriangular structures.

Definition 4.3 ([7]) *A positive quasitriangular structure $R(\xi, \eta)$ on $H(G; G_+, G_-)$ is called normal if $\xi(u) = e$ for all $u \in G_+$.*

Theorem 4.4 *A normal positive quasitriangular structure $R(\xi, \eta)$ on $H(G; G_+, G_-)$ is pseudotriangular if and only if $\eta(uv) = \eta(vu)$ for all $u, v \in G_+$.*

Proof. We note first that, since $\xi(u) = e$ for all $u \in G_+$, some of the relations (4.1)-(4.10) may be simplified, in particular we have ${}^u\eta(v) = \eta(v)$, $uv = v({}^u\eta(v))$, $\eta(v)^u = \eta(v)$, $uv = (\eta^{(u)}v)u$, for all $u, v \in G_+$. By using these relations, together with the fact that $\xi(u) = e$ for all $u \in G_+$, the three conditions in the above Proposition may be also simplified, so we obtain that $R(\xi, \eta)$ is pseudotriangular if and only if we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \eta(vuv^{-1}) = \eta(vcv^{-1}), \\
& \eta(v)^{-1}\eta(tst^{-1})^{-1} = \eta(usu^{-1})^{-1}\eta(v)^{-1}, \\
& \eta(t)^{-1} = \eta(u)^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v, s \in G_+$, where $t = vuv^{-1}$ and $c = usus^{-1}u^{-1}$, and one can easily see that each of these three conditions is equivalent to the condition $\eta(uv) = \eta(vu)$, for all $u, v \in G_+$. \square

We recall from [9] that the canonical quasitriangular structure on the Drinfeld double of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra H is pseudotriangular if and only if H is commutative and cocommutative. In particular, if G is a finite group, the canonical quasitriangular structure on the Drinfeld double of the dual $k[G]^*$ of the group algebra $k[G]$ is pseudotriangular if and only if G is abelian. We want to reobtain this result (over \mathbb{C}) as an application of Theorem 4.4.

We consider the unique factorization $G = G_+G_-$, where $G_+ = G$ and $G_- = \{e\}$ (so the Hopf algebra $H(G; G_+, G_-)$ is exactly $k[G]^*$). As in [7], we consider the group $\tilde{G} = G \times G$, with the unique factorization $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}_+\tilde{G}_-$, where $\tilde{G}_+ = G \times \{e\}$ and $\tilde{G}_- = \{(g, g) : g \in G\}$. By [7], the group homomorphisms $\xi, \eta : \tilde{G}_+ \rightarrow \tilde{G}_-$ defined by $\xi(g, e) = (e, e)$ and $\eta(g, e) = (g, g)$ induce a positive quasitriangular structure $R(\xi, \eta)$ on $H(\tilde{G}; \tilde{G}_+, \tilde{G}_-)$ and moreover $H(\tilde{G}; \tilde{G}_+, \tilde{G}_-)$ is the Drinfeld double of $H(G; G_+, G_-) = k[G]^*$ and $R(\xi, \eta)$ is its canonical quasitriangular structure. Obviously $R(\xi, \eta)$ is normal, so we may apply Theorem 4.4 and we obtain that $R(\xi, \eta)$ is pseudotriangular if and only if $(gh, gh) = (hg, hg)$ for all $g, h \in G$, i.e. if and only if G is abelian.

5 Universality of the pseudosymmetric category \mathcal{PS}

In this section we use terminology, notation and some results from [5] (but we use the term "monoidal" instead of "tensor" when we speak about tensor categories and tensor functors).

Our aim is to show that the pseudosymmetric category \mathcal{PS} introduced in [10] has two universality properties similar to the ones of the braid category \mathcal{B} , the universal braided monoidal category (see [5]). First, we recall from [10] the definition of \mathcal{PS} . The objects of \mathcal{PS} are natural numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The set of morphisms from m to n is empty if $m \neq n$ and is $PS_n := \frac{B_n}{[P_n, P_n]}$ if $m = n$, where B_n (respectively P_n) is the braid group (respectively pure braid group) on n strands. The monoidal structure of \mathcal{PS} is defined as the one for \mathcal{B} , and so is the braiding, namely (we denote as usual by $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$ the standard generators of B_n and by π_n the natural morphism from B_n to PS_n):

$$c_{n,m} : n \otimes m \rightarrow m \otimes n, \quad c_{0,n} = id_n = c_{n,0},$$

$$c_{n,m} = \pi_{n+m}((\sigma_m \sigma_{m-1} \cdots \sigma_1)(\sigma_{m+1} \sigma_m \cdots \sigma_2) \cdots (\sigma_{m+n-1} \sigma_{m+n-2} \cdots \sigma_n)) \quad \text{if } m, n > 0.$$

In order to introduce the first universality property for \mathcal{PS} , we need the following definition, motivated by results in [10] and by the definition of Yang-Baxter operators from [5]:

Definition 5.1 *If V is an object in a monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, a, l, r)$, an automorphism σ of $V \otimes V$ is called a pseudosymmetric Yang-Baxter operator on V if the following two dodecagons*

(for σ and σ^{-1}) commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& (V \otimes V) \otimes V & \\
\swarrow \sigma \otimes id_V & & \searrow a_{V,V,V} \\
(V \otimes V) \otimes V & & V \otimes (V \otimes V) \\
\downarrow a_{V,V,V} & & \downarrow id_V \otimes \sigma \\
V \otimes (V \otimes V) & & V \otimes (V \otimes V) \\
\downarrow id_V \otimes \sigma^{\pm 1} & & \downarrow a_{V,V,V}^{-1} \\
V \otimes (V \otimes V) & & (V \otimes V) \otimes V \\
\downarrow a_{V,V,V}^{-1} & & \downarrow \sigma^{\pm 1} \otimes id_V \\
(V \otimes V) \otimes V & & (V \otimes V) \otimes V \\
\downarrow \sigma \otimes id_V & & \downarrow a_{V,V,V} \\
(V \otimes V) \otimes V & & V \otimes (V \otimes V) \\
\searrow a_{V,V,V} & & \swarrow id_V \otimes \sigma \\
& V \otimes (V \otimes V) &
\end{array}$$

Note that a pseudosymmetric Yang-Baxter operator is a special type of Yang-Baxter operator as defined in [5], p. 323. Moreover, just like Yang-Baxter operators, they can be transferred by using functors between monoidal categories:

Lemma 5.2 *Let $(F, \varphi_0, \varphi_2) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a monoidal functor between two monoidal categories. If $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(V \otimes V)$ is a pseudosymmetric Yang-Baxter operator on the object $V \in \mathcal{C}$, then*

$$\sigma' = \varphi_2(V, V)^{-1} \circ F(\sigma) \circ \varphi_2(V, V)$$

is a pseudosymmetric Yang-Baxter operator on $F(V)$.

Proof. The proof follows exactly as in [5], Lemma XIII.3.2, by using also the identity

$$(\sigma')^{-1} = \varphi_2(V, V)^{-1} \circ F(\sigma^{-1}) \circ \varphi_2(V, V)$$

in order to prove the pseudosymmetry of σ' . □

We define the category $PSYB(\mathcal{C})$ of pseudosymmetric Yang-Baxter operators to be a full subcategory of $YB(\mathcal{C})$, the category of Yang-Baxter operators defined in [5]. An object in $PSYB(\mathcal{C})$ is a pair (V, σ) where V is a object in \mathcal{C} and σ is a pseudosymmetric Yang-Baxter operator.

Recall the following construction from [5]. Suppose that $(F, \varphi_0, \varphi_2) : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a monoidal functor from the universal braid category \mathcal{B} to a given monoidal category \mathcal{C} . Since $c_{1,1} = \sigma_1$ is a Yang-Baxter operator on the object $1 \in \mathcal{B}$, it follows that $\sigma = \varphi_2^{-1}(1, 1)F(c_{1,1})\varphi_2(1, 1)$ is a Yang-Baxter operator on $F(1) \in \mathcal{C}$. In this way we get a functor $\Theta : \text{Tens}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow YB(\mathcal{C})$, where $\text{Tens}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ is the category of monoidal functors from \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{C} . It was proved in [5] that:

Theorem 5.3 ([5]) *For any monoidal category \mathcal{C} , the functor $\Theta : \text{Tens}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow YB(\mathcal{C})$ is an equivalence of categories.*

One can note that we have a natural monoidal functor $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{PS}$ induced by the group epimorphism $\pi_n : B_n \rightarrow PS_n$. This allows us to identify the category $Tens(\mathcal{PS}, \mathcal{C})$ with a subcategory of $Tens(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$. More precisely, we identify it with the full subcategory of all monoidal functors $F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with the property that there exists a monoidal functor $G : \mathcal{PS} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $F = G \circ \pi$.

We can state now the first universality property of \mathcal{PS} :

Theorem 5.4 *For any monoidal category \mathcal{C} , the functor $\tilde{\Theta} : Tens(\mathcal{PS}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow PSYB(\mathcal{C})$, $\tilde{\Theta}(G) = \Theta(G \circ \pi)$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. First we note that $\pi(c_{1,1})$ is a pseudosymmetric Yang-Baxter operator in \mathcal{PS} and so by Lemma 5.2 we have $\varphi_2^{-1}(1, 1)G(\pi(c_{1,1}))\varphi_2(1, 1) \in PSYB(\mathcal{C})$. This means that $\tilde{\Theta}$ is well defined. Since Θ is fully faithful and $\tilde{\Theta}$ is its restriction to a full subcategory, it is enough to show that $\tilde{\Theta}$ is essentially surjective. This follows from the next lemma. \square

Lemma 5.5 *Let \mathcal{C} be a strict monoidal category and (V, σ) an object in $PSYB(\mathcal{C})$. Then there exists a unique strict monoidal functor $G : \mathcal{PS} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $G(1) = V$ and $G(\pi(c_{1,1})) = \sigma$.*

Proof. From [5], Lemma XIII.3.5 we know that for all $(V, \sigma) \in YB(\mathcal{C})$ there exists a unique strict monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $F(1) = V$ and $F(c_{1,1}) = \sigma$. It is enough to show that when $(V, \sigma) \in PSYB(\mathcal{C})$ the functor F factors through π . But this follows immediately from the fact (see [10]) that

$$PS_n = \frac{B_n}{\langle \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-2 \rangle}$$

and the definition of a pseudosymmetric Yang-Baxter operator. \square

Definition 5.6 ([5]) *A monoidal functor $(F, \varphi_0, \varphi_2)$ from a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} to a braided monoidal category \mathcal{D} is braided if for every pair (U, V) of objects in \mathcal{C} the square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(U) \otimes F(V) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & F(U \otimes V) \\ c_{F(U), F(V)} \downarrow & & \downarrow F(c_{U, V}) \\ F(V) \otimes F(U) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & F(V \otimes U) \end{array}$$

commutes. Denote by $Br(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ the category whose objects are braided monoidal functors and morphisms are natural monoidal transformations.

Theorem 5.7 ([5]) *For a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} , the functor $\Theta' : Br(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by $\Theta'(F) = F(1)$ is an equivalence of categories.*

In the definition of a pseudosymmetric braided category \mathcal{C} introduced in [9] was assumed that \mathcal{C} was a *strict* monoidal category. The next proposition is the analogue of Theorem 3.7 from [9] for monoidal categories with nontrivial associativity constraints. Note that the proof that we present here is very direct and is inspired by the results in [10].

Proposition 5.8 Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, a, l, r, c)$ be a braided monoidal category. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) For every $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$ the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (U \otimes V) \otimes W & \\
 c_{U,V} \otimes id_W \swarrow & & \searrow a_{U,V,W} \\
 (V \otimes U) \otimes W & & U \otimes (V \otimes W) \\
 a_{V,U,W} \downarrow & & \downarrow id_U \otimes c_{V,W} \\
 V \otimes (U \otimes W) & & U \otimes (W \otimes V) \\
 id_V \otimes c_{W,U}^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow a_{U,W,V}^{-1} \\
 V \otimes (W \otimes U) & & (U \otimes W) \otimes V \\
 a_{V,W,U}^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow c_{W,U}^{-1} \otimes id_V \\
 (V \otimes W) \otimes U & & (W \otimes U) \otimes V \\
 c_{V,W} \otimes id_U \downarrow & & \downarrow a_{W,U,V} \\
 (W \otimes V) \otimes U & & W \otimes (U \otimes V) \\
 a_{W,V,U} \searrow & & \swarrow id_W \otimes c_{U,V} \\
 & W \otimes (V \otimes U) &
 \end{array}$$

(ii) For every $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$ the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (U \otimes V) \otimes W & \\
 c_{V,U} c_{U,V} \otimes id_W \swarrow & & \searrow a_{U,V,W} \\
 (U \otimes V) \otimes W & & U \otimes (V \otimes W) \\
 a_{U,V,W} \downarrow & & \downarrow id_U \otimes c_{W,V} c_{V,W} \\
 U \otimes (V \otimes W) & & U \otimes (V \otimes W) \\
 id_U \otimes c_{W,V} c_{V,W} \downarrow & & \downarrow a_{U,V,W}^{-1} \\
 U \otimes (V \otimes W) & & (U \otimes V) \otimes W \\
 a_{U,V,W}^{-1} \searrow & & \swarrow c_{V,U} c_{U,V} \otimes id_W \\
 & (U \otimes V) \otimes W &
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Take $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$. Using only the fact that \mathcal{C} is a braided category we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((c_{V,U} c_{U,V}) \otimes id_W) a_{U,V,W}^{-1} (id_U \otimes (c_{W,V} c_{V,W})) a_{U,V,W} \\
 &= (c_{V,U} \otimes id_W) a_{V,U,W}^{-1} (id_V \otimes c_{U,W}^{-1}) [(id_V \otimes c_{U,W}) a_{V,U,W} (c_{U,V} \otimes id_W)] \\
 & \quad a_{U,V,W}^{-1} (id_U \otimes c_{W,V} c_{V,W}) a_{U,V,W} \\
 &= (c_{V,U} \otimes id_W) a_{V,U,W}^{-1} (id_V \otimes c_{U,W}^{-1}) [a_{V,W,U} c_{U,V} \otimes W a_{U,V,W}] a_{U,V,W}^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (id_U \otimes c_{W,V} \circ c_{V,W}) a_{U,V,W} \\
&= (c_{V,U} \otimes id_W) a_{V,U,W}^{-1} (id_V \otimes c_{U,W}^{-1}) a_{V,W,U} (c_{W,V} \otimes id_U) (c_{V,W} \otimes id_U) c_{U,V \otimes W} a_{U,V,W}, \\
& a_{U,V,W}^{-1} (id_U \otimes c_{W,V}) (id_U \otimes c_{V,W}) a_{U,V,W} (c_{V,U} \otimes id_W) (c_{U,V} \otimes id_W) \\
&= a_{U,V,W}^{-1} (id_U \otimes c_{W,V}) a_{U,W,V} c_{V,U \otimes W} a_{V,U,W} (c_{U,V} \otimes id_W) \\
&= a_{U,V,W}^{-1} (id_U \otimes c_{W,V}) a_{U,W,V} c_{V,U \otimes W} (id_V \otimes c_{U,W}^{-1}) (id_V \otimes c_{U,W}) a_{V,U,W} (c_{U,V} \otimes id_W) \\
&= a_{U,V,W}^{-1} (id_U \otimes c_{W,V}) a_{U,W,V} (c_{U,W}^{-1} \otimes id_V) c_{V,W \otimes U} (id_V \otimes c_{U,W}) a_{V,U,W} (c_{U,V} \otimes id_W) \\
&= a_{U,V,W}^{-1} (id_U \otimes c_{W,V}) a_{U,W,V} (c_{U,W}^{-1} \otimes id_V) a_{W,U,V}^{-1} (id_W \otimes c_{V,U}) a_{W,V,U} (c_{V,W} \otimes id_U) a_{V,W,U}^{-1} \\
&\quad (id_V \otimes c_{U,W}) a_{V,U,W} (c_{U,V} \otimes id_W) \\
&= a_{U,V,W}^{-1} (id_U \otimes c_{W,V}) a_{U,W,V} (c_{U,W}^{-1} \otimes id_V) a_{W,U,V}^{-1} (id_W \otimes c_{V,U}) a_{W,V,U} (c_{V,W} \otimes id_U) a_{V,W,U}^{-1} \\
&\quad a_{V,W,U} c_{U,V \otimes W} a_{U,V,W} \\
&= a_{U,V,W}^{-1} (id_U \otimes c_{W,V}) a_{U,W,V} (c_{U,W}^{-1} \otimes id_V) a_{W,U,V}^{-1} (id_W \otimes c_{V,U}) a_{W,V,U} \\
&\quad (c_{V,W} \otimes id_U) c_{U,V \otimes W} a_{U,V,W}.
\end{aligned}$$

This means that the condition (ii) holds if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
& (c_{V,U} \otimes id_W) a_{V,U,W}^{-1} (id_V \otimes c_{U,W}^{-1}) a_{V,W,U} (c_{W,V} \otimes id_U) \\
&= a_{U,V,W}^{-1} (id_U \otimes c_{W,V}) a_{U,W,V} (c_{U,W}^{-1} \otimes id_V) a_{W,U,V}^{-1} (id_W \otimes c_{V,U}) a_{W,V,U},
\end{aligned}$$

and this condition is obviously equivalent with (i). \square

Definition 5.9 We say that a braided monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, a, l, r, c)$ is pseudosymmetric if it satisfies any of the two equivalent conditions from Proposition 5.8.

Remark 5.10 If $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, a, l, r, c)$ is a pseudosymmetric braided monoidal category and V is an object in \mathcal{C} , then $c_{V,V}$ is a pseudosymmetric Yang-Baxter operator on V .

Lemma 5.11 If the braided category \mathcal{C} is pseudosymmetric then $Br(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \cong Br(\mathcal{PS}, \mathcal{C})$.

Proof. The isomorphism is induced by $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{PS}$. More precisely, we have

$$\pi^* : Br(\mathcal{PS}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow Br(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}), \quad \pi^*(G) = G \circ \pi.$$

Because $\pi_n : B_n \rightarrow PS_n$ is surjective and the category \mathcal{C} is pseudosymmetric, any functor $F \in Br(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ is of the form $F = G \circ \pi$ for some unique $G \in Br(\mathcal{PS}, \mathcal{C})$. \square

As a consequence of this and Theorem 5.7 we obtain the second universality property of \mathcal{PS} :

Theorem 5.12 For a pseudosymmetric braided category \mathcal{C} , the functor $\widetilde{\Theta}' : Br(\mathcal{PS}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by $\widetilde{\Theta}'(G) = G(1)$ is an equivalence of categories.

References

- [1] A. Bruguières, Double braidings, twists and tangle invariants, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **204** (2006), 170–194.
- [2] D. Bulacu, S. Caenepeel, F. Panaite, Yetter-Drinfeld categories for quasi-Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **34** (2006), 1–35.
- [3] G. Carnovale, J. Cuadra, The Brauer group of some quasitriangular Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **259** (2003), 512–532.
- [4] A. Joyal, R. Street, Braided tensor categories, *Adv. Math.* **102** (1993), 20–78.
- [5] C. Kassel, *Quantum groups*, Grad. Texts Math. **155**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [6] J.-H. Lu, M. Yan, Y.-C. Zhu, On Hopf algebras with positive bases, *J. Algebra* **237** (2001), 421–445.
- [7] J.-H. Lu, M. Yan, Y.-C. Zhu, On quasitriangular structures on Hopf algebras with positive bases, in "New trends in Hopf algebra theory" (1999), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, pp. 339–356.
- [8] F. Panaite, M. D. Staic, F. Van Oystaeyen, On some classes of lazy cocycles and categorical structures, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **209** (2007), 687–701.
- [9] F. Panaite, M. D. Staic, F. Van Oystaeyen, Pseudosymmetric braidings, twines and twisted algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **214** (2010), 867–884.
- [10] F. Panaite, M. D. Staic, A quotient of the braid group related to pseudosymmetric braided categories, *Pacific J. Math.* **244** (2010), 155–167.
- [11] F. Panaite, F. Van Oystaeyen, L-R-smash biproducts, double biproducts and a braided category of Yetter-Drinfeld-Long bimodules, *Rocky Mount. J. Math.* **40** (2010), 2013–2024.
- [12] D. E. Radford, On Kauffmann's knot invariants arising from finite dimensional Hopf algebras, in "Advances in Hopf algebras", Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math. Vol. 158, Dekker, New York, 1994, pp. 205–266.
- [13] M. D. Staic, Pure-braided Hopf algebras and knot invariants, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **13** (2004), 385–400.